

Aerosols, long irradiation neutron activation analysis from R/V Thomas G. Thompson cruises in the Arabian Sea in 1995 (U.S. JGOFS Arabian Sea project)

Website: <https://www.bco-dmo.org/dataset/2591>

Version: June 30, 1997

Version Date: 1997-06-30

Project

» [U.S. JGOFS Arabian Sea](#) (Arabian Sea)

Program

» [U.S. Joint Global Ocean Flux Study](#) (U.S. JGOFS)

| Contributors | Affiliation | Role |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Tindale, Neil | Texas A&M University (TAMU) | Principal Investigator |
| Chandler, Cynthia L. | Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI BCO-DMO) | BCO-DMO Data Manager |

Table of Contents

- [Dataset Description](#)
 - [Methods & Sampling](#)
 - [Data Processing Description](#)
- [Data Files](#)
- [Parameters](#)
- [Instruments](#)
- [Deployments](#)
- [Project Information](#)
- [Program Information](#)
- [Funding](#)

Dataset Description

PI: Neil Tindale
of: Texas A&M University
dataset: Aerosols, long irradiation neutron activation analysis
dates: January 08, 1995 to December 18, 1995
location: N: 22.8459 S: 09.8871 W: 57.2609 E: 68.2687
project: Arabian Sea
ship: Thomas Thompson

[PI Notes and Methodology](#)

[A note from DMO on supplementary fields](#)

HiVol pump sampler methods are described in: N. W. Tindale and P. P. Pease, Aerosols over the Arabian Sea: Atmospheric transport pathways and concentrations of dust and sea salt, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography Volume 46, Issues 8-9, August 1999, Pages 1577-1595. ([view article at ScienceDirect](#))

Methods & Sampling

Dr. Neil Tindale, Texas A & M Univ.
JGOFS/Arabian Sea

Aerosols, short and long irradiation neutron activation analysis

JGOFS Arabian Sea Aerosol Data

This mineral aerosol concentration data set is from samples collected during several cruises on the R/V Thomas Thompson during the JGOFS Arabian Sea field program.

Air Sampling Pump System

The air sampling pumps, controller, electronics, and a clean bench were mounted in a 6-m shipping container located on the foredeck's container storage rack. The air sampler was a HiVol system loaded with a single unwashed Whatman 41 filter and with a flow rate of about 1.2-1.4 m³ min⁻¹. Air flow rates were measured daily using an orifice-type flow tube that had been calibrated to a National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) certified EG&G FT32 turbine flowmeter. Air volume errors were between 5 and 10%; the total combined air volume and analytical error was about 10%. The experimental equipment was similar to that described in Schwartz et al. (1988) and used on previous atmospheric-oceanographic programs (Betzer et al., 1988).

References:

Betzer, P.R., Carder, K.L., Duce, R.A., Merrill, J.T., Tindale, N.W., Uematsu, M., Costello, D., Young, R., Feely, R.A., Breland, J.A., Bernstein, R., Greco, T., 1988. A pulse of Asian dust to the central North Pacific: long-range transport of giant mineral aerosols. *Nature* 336, pp. 568-571.

Schwartz, G., Boldi, R., Wasco, T., Duce, R., 1988. PASS: a portable atmospheric sampling system for chemical studies in the marine troposphere. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology* 5, pp. 561-570.

The data set includes the sampling period for each sample; the "Day of Year", yrday, number for the start of the sampling period for each sample; and the concentration of different elements for each sample, in micrograms per cubic meter. For the sampling period, "nd" is used as a filler to indicate "no data" gaps in the data array. The dust values are estimated using aluminum concentrations determined by neutron activation analysis. While most samples cover a multi-day period, we only have data for about 150 days. We didn't participate in all of the cruises and, on the cruises where samples were collected, often sampling conditions were less than ideal (bad weather, ship maneuvering, relative wind from astern etc.). A few samples that were collected showed obvious contamination from local sources, presumably from material from the R/V Thompson or from nearby fishing boats, and these samples were discarded and are not included in the data set. While the sampling period represents the period during which sampling occurred, sampling was usually not continuous. Sampling was frequently stopped, whenever sampling conditions were no longer suitable. Thus the concentration value at any particular date represents a time integrated sample which is usually non-continuous.

Cautionary comments:

1. There may be a problem with the estimate for the mineral "dust" concentration. Most researchers use the average crustal ratio to estimate mineral dust concentrations using elemental concentration data (Al, Fe, etc.). Surface sand and silt samples that were collected in Oman in the Wahibah Sands region have distinct, non-crustal ratios. If individual aerosol samples are comprised of material from distinct sources, including Oman, then it is not unreasonable for their elemental ratios to differ from the published "average" crustal ratio that is used in most aerosol studies.
2. The amount of Ti in all the aerosol samples was small, despite there being a reasonable amount of dust material in most samples. The peaks for Cr and Ti overlap in the neutron activation short irradiation analysis and if significant quantities of Cr are present, this will interfere with the Ti analysis. With the exception of one sample, the Ti values are at or below the detection limit. The sole value above the detection limit was corrected for the Cr contribution using a correction based on the Cr values from the

long irradiations. The correction changed the Ti value by less than 5%. The Ti data flagged as being at or below the detection limit was not corrected for possible Cr interference.

Data management office notes on supplementary fields - aerosols data

lat, lon

A nominal ship location is given in lat/lon. The location is the noon position most near the middle of the sampling period, e.g. for a sample which was pumped intermittently from Jan. 3 - 5, the location is given for noon, Jan. 4. Intended as an aid to understanding, not a discrete location.

date_begin, date_end

We have included the start and stop day for each sample which we believe constrains the sample time about as well as is useful for these data. We also have pump volume and thus a mean concentration of dust per cubic meter of air for that time frame. The actual number of hours sampled during a time block (number of days) is complicated to present. Pumps were turned on and off repetitively depending upon ship maneuvers and relative wind direction (to prevent ship exhaust contamination). Also, the total number of hours the pumps were on is a less useful measure than pump volume, because of the variability in pump efficiency due to changing barometric pressures. An hours worth of pumping does not always yield the same volume of air.

Data Processing Description

Data management office notes on supplementary fields - aerosols data

lat, lon

A nominal ship location is given in lat/lon. The location is the noon position most near the middle of the sampling period, e.g. for a sample which was pumped intermittently from Jan. 3 - 5, the location is given for noon, Jan. 4. Intended as an aid to understanding, not a discrete location.

date_begin, date_end

We have included the start and stop day for each sample which we believe constrains the sample time about as well as is useful for these data. We also have pump volume and thus a mean concentration of dust per cubic meter of air for that time frame. The actual number of hours sampled during a time block (number of days) is complicated to present. Pumps were turned on and off repetitively depending upon ship maneuvers and relative wind direction (to prevent ship exhaust contamination). Also, the total number of hours the pumps were on is a less useful measure than pump volume, because of the variability in pump efficiency due to changing barometric pressures. An hours worth of pumping does not always yield the same volume of air.

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Data Files

| File |
|--|
| aerosols_long.csv (Comma Separated Values (.csv), 16.36 KB) MD5:62c4c0ada8d18f518301fe6d8fee691a |
| Primary data file for dataset ID 2591 |

Parameters

| Parameter | Description | Units |
|------------|---|--------------------|
| cruise | Arabian Sea, Thomas Thompson cruise identifier | |
| date_begin | date sampling pump turned on for a given sample, | as YYYYMMDD |
| date_end | date sampling pump turned off for a given sample, as YYYYMMDD | |
| yrday | day of year, 1995 | |
| lat_n | Nominal latitude (minus indicates South) | decimal degrees |
| lon_n | Nominal longitude (minus indicates West) | decimal degrees |
| vol_pump | volume of air pumped to accumulate sample | cubic meters |
| As | concentration of arsenic | ug/m ³ |
| Br | concentration of bromine | ug/m ³ |
| Ce | concentration of cerium | ug/m ³ |
| Co | concentration of cobolt | ug/m ³ |
| Cr | concentration of chromium | ug/m ³ |
| Cs | concentration of cesium | ug/m ³ |
| Eu | concentration of europium | ug/m ³ |
| Fe | concentration of iron | ug/m ³ |
| Gd | concentration of gadolinium | ug/m ³ |
| Hf | concentration of hafnium | ug/m ³ |
| Hg | concentration of mercury | ug/m ³ |
| La | concentration of lanthanum | ug/m ³ |
| Lu | concentration of lutetium | ug/m ³ |
| Nd | concentration of neodymium | ug/m ³ |
| Rb | concentration of rubidium | ug/m ³ |
| Sb | concentration of antimony | ug/m ³ |
| Sc | concentration of scandium | ug/m ³ |
| Se | concentration of selenium | ug/m ³ |
| Sm | concentration of samarium | ug/m ³ |
| Sr | concentration of strontium | ug/m ³ |
| Ta | concentration of tantalum | ug/m ³ |
| Tb | concentration of terbium | ug/m ³ |
| Th | concentration of thorium | ug/m ³ |
| Tm | concentration of thulium | ug/m ³ |
| U | concentration of uranium | ug/m ³ |
| Yb | concentration of ytterbium | ug/m ³ |
| Zn | concentration of zinc | ug/m ³ |

| | | |
|--------|---|-------------------|
| dust | total sample mass estimated by assuming the Al content represented 8 percent, based on an 8% crustal average for Al | ug/m ³ |
| As_err | Arsenic combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| As_dl | Arsenic detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Br_err | Bromine combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Br_dl | Bromine detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Ce_err | Cerium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Ce_dl | Cerium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Co_err | Cobalt combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Co_dl | Cobalt detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Cr_err | Chromium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Cr_dl | Chromium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Cs_err | Cesium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Cs_dl | Cesium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Eu_err | Europium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Eu_dl | Europium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Fe_err | Iron combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Fe_dl | Iron detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Gd_err | Gadolinium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |

| | | |
|--------|---|-------------------|
| Gd_dl | Gadolinium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Hf_err | Hafnium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Hf_dl | Hafnium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Hg_err | Mercury combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Hg_dl | Mercury detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| La_err | Lanthanum combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| La_dl | Lanthanum detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Lu_err | Lutetium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Lu_dl | Lutetium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Nd_err | Neodymium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Nd_dl | Neodymium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Rb_err | Rubidium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Rb_dl | Rubidium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Sb_err | Antimony combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Sb_dl | Antimony detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Sc_err | Scandium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Sc_dl | Scandium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |

| | | |
|--------|--|-------------------|
| Se_err | Selenium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Se_dl | Selenium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Sm_err | Samarium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Sm_dl | Samarium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Sr_err | Strontium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Sr_dl | Strontium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Ta_err | Tantalum combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Ta_dl | Tantalum detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Tb_err | Terbium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Tb_dl | Terbium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Th_err | Thorium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Th_dl | Thorium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Tm_err | Thulium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Tm_dl | Thulium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| U_err | Uranium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| U_dl | Uranium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Yb_err | Ytterbium combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| | | |

| | | |
|--------|--|-------------------|
| Yb_dl | Ytterbium detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |
| Zn_err | Zinc combined sampling and analytical error | ug/m ³ |
| Zn_dl | Zinc detection level: a '1' indicates that while a peak was detected, the isotope sample was below the level of detection relative to background "noise". The element concentration reported therefore represents the amount of the isotope which would have had to have been present for it to have been detected. a '0' indicates no flag - concentration is as measured. | |

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Instruments

| | |
|---|--|
| Dataset-specific Instrument Name | Pump Air Sampler |
| Generic Instrument Name | Pump Air Sampler |
| Dataset-specific Description | Air sampling pumps. were mounted in a 6-m shipping container located on the foredeck's container storage rack. The air sampler was a HiVol system loaded with a single unwashed Whatman 41t "lter and with a #ow rate of&1.2}1.4 m3 min~1. |
| Generic Instrument Description | A Pump Air Sampler is an instrument that continuously supplies a flow of air either to an analytical instrument, over a sensor, through filters or from which discrete samples may be drawn for subsequent analysis. This instrument designation is used when specific make and model are not known. |

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Deployments

TT043

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Website | https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/57704 |
| Platform | R/V Thomas G. Thompson |
| Report | http://osprey.bcodmo.org/datasetDeployment.cfm?ddid=2580&did=353&flag=view |
| Start Date | 1995-01-08 |
| End Date | 1995-02-05 |

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Description | <p>Purpose: Process Cruise #1 (Late NE Monsoon)</p> <p>Methods & Sampling</p> <p>PI: Neil Tindale of: Texas A&M University dataset: Aerosols, long irradiation neutron activation analysis dates: January 08, 1995 to December 18, 1995 locations: N: 22.8459 S: 09.8871 W: 57.2609 E: 68.2687 project: Arabian Sea ship: Thomas Thompson Dr. Neil Tindale, Texas A & M Univ. JGOFS/Arabian Sea Aerosols, short and long irradiation neutron activation analysis JGOFS Arabian Sea Aerosol Data This mineral aerosol concentration data set is from samples collected during several cruises on the R/V Thomas Thompson during the JGOFS Arabian Sea field program. The data set includes the sampling period for each sample; the "Day of Year", yrday, number for the start of the sampling period for each sample; and the concentration of different elements for each sample, in micrograms per cubic meter. For the sampling period, "nd" is used as a filler to indicate "no data" gaps in the data array. The dust values are estimated using aluminum concentrations determined by neutron activation analysis. While most samples cover a multi-day period, we only have data for about 150 days. We didn't participate in all of the cruises and, on the cruises where samples were collected, often sampling conditions were less than ideal (bad weather, ship maneuvering, relative wind from astern etc.). A few samples that were collected showed obvious contamination from local sources, presumably from material from the R/V Thompson or from nearby fishing boats, and these samples were discarded and are not included in the data set. While the sampling period represents the period during which sampling occurred, sampling was usually not continuous. Sampling was frequently stopped, whenever sampling conditions were no longer suitable. Thus the concentration value at any particular date represents a time integrated sample which is usually non-continuous. Cautionary comments: 1. There may be a problem with the estimate for the mineral "dust" concentration. Most researchers use the average crustal ratio to estimate mineral dust concentrations using elemental concentration data (Al, Fe, etc.). Surface sand and silt samples that were collected in Oman in the Wahibah Sands region have distinct, non-crustal ratios. If individual aerosol samples are comprised of material from distinct sources, including Oman, then it is not unreasonable for their elemental ratios to differ from the published "average" crustal ratio that is used in most aerosol studies. 2. The amount of Ti in all the aerosol samples was small, despite there being a reasonable amount of dust material in most samples. The peaks for Cr and Ti overlap in the neutron activation short irradiation analysis and if significant quantities of Cr are present, this will interfere with the Ti analysis. With the exception of one sample, the Ti values are at or below the detection limit. The sole value above the detection limit was corrected for the Cr contribution using a correction based on the Cr values from the long irradiations. The correction changed the Ti value by less than 5%. The Ti data flagged as being at or below the detection limit was not corrected for possible Cr interference.</p> <p>Processing Description</p> <p>Data management office notes on supplementary fields - aerosols data lat, lon A nominal ship location is given in lat/lon. The location is the noon position most near the middle of the sampling period, e.g. for a sample which was pumped intermittently from Jan. 3 - 5, the location is given for noon, Jan. 4. Intended as an aid to understanding, not a discrete location. date_begin, date_end We have included the start and stop day for each sample which we believe constrains the sample time about as well as is useful for these data. We also have pump volume and thus a mean concentration of dust per cubic meter of air for that time frame. The actual number of hours sampled during a time block (number of days) is complicated to present. Pumps were turned on and off repetitively depending upon ship maneuvers and relative wind direction (to prevent ship exhaust contamination). Also, the total number of hours the pumps were on is a less useful measure than pump volume, because of the variability in pump efficiency due to changing barometric pressures. An hours worth of pumping does not always yield the same volume of air.</p> |
|--------------------|--|

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Website | https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/57705 |
| Platform | R/V Thomas G. Thompson |
| Start Date | 1995-02-09 |
| End Date | 1995-02-28 |
| Description | <p>Methods & Sampling</p> <p>PI: Neil Tindale of: Texas A&M University dataset: Aerosols, long irradiation neutron activation analysis dates: January 08, 1995 to December 18, 1995 locations: N: 22.8459 S: 09.8871 W: 57.2609 E: 68.2687 project: Arabian Sea ship: Thomas Thompson Dr. Neil Tindale, Texas A & M Univ. JGOFS/Arabian Sea Aerosols, short and long irradiation neutron activation analysis JGOFS Arabian Sea Aerosol Data This mineral aerosol concentration data set is from samples collected during several cruises on the R/V Thomas Thompson during the JGOFS Arabian Sea field program. The data set includes the sampling period for each sample; the "Day of Year", yrd, number for the start of the sampling period for each sample; and the concentration of different elements for each sample, in micrograms per cubic meter. For the sampling period, "nd" is used as a filler to indicate "no data" gaps in the data array. The dust values are estimated using aluminum concentrations determined by neutron activation analysis. While most samples cover a multi-day period, we only have data for about 150 days. We didn't participate in all of the cruises and, on the cruises where samples were collected, often sampling conditions were less than ideal (bad weather, ship maneuvering, relative wind from astern etc.). A few samples that were collected showed obvious contamination from local sources, presumably from material from the R/V Thompson or from nearby fishing boats, and these samples were discarded and are not included in the data set. While the sampling period represents the period during which sampling occurred, sampling was usually not continuous. Sampling was frequently stopped, whenever sampling conditions were no longer suitable. Thus the concentration value at any particular date represents a time integrated sample which is usually non-continuous. Cautionary comments: 1. There may be a problem with the estimate for the mineral "dust" concentration. Most researchers use the average crustal ratio to estimate mineral dust concentrations using elemental concentration data (Al, Fe, etc.). Surface sand and silt samples that were collected in Oman in the Wahibah Sands region have distinct, non-crustal ratios. If individual aerosol samples are comprised of material from distinct sources, including Oman, then it is not unreasonable for their elemental ratios to differ from the published "average" crustal ratio that is used in most aerosol studies. 2. The amount of Ti in all the aerosol samples was small, despite there being a reasonable amount of dust material in most samples. The peaks for Cr and Ti overlap in the neutron activation short irradiation analysis and if significant quantities of Cr are present, this will interfere with the Ti analysis. With the exception of one sample, the Ti values are at or below the detection limit. The sole value above the detection limit was corrected for the Cr contribution using a correction based on the Cr values from the long irradiations. The correction changed the Ti value by less than 5%. The Ti data flagged as being at or below the detection limit was not corrected for possible Cr interference. Data management office notes on supplementary fields - aerosols data lat, lon A nominal ship location is given in lat/lon. The location is the noon position most near the middle of the sampling period, e.g. for a sample which was pumped intermittently from Jan. 3 - 5, the location is given for noon, Jan. 4. Intended as an aid to understanding, not a discrete location. date_begin, date_end We have included the start and stop day for each sample which we believe constrains the sample time about as well as is useful for these data. We also have pump volume and thus a mean concentration of dust per cubic meter of air for that time frame. The actual number of hours sampled during a time block (number of days) is complicated to present. Pumps were turned on and off repetitively depending upon ship maneuvers and relative wind direction (to prevent ship exhaust contamination). Also, the total number of hours the pumps were on is a less useful measure than pump volume, because of the variability in pump efficiency due to changing barometric pressures. An hours worth of pumping does not always yield the same volume of air.</p> |

TT045

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Website | https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/57706 |
| Platform | R/V Thomas G. Thompson |
| Start Date | 1995-03-14 |
| End Date | 1995-04-10 |
| Description | <p>Methods & Sampling</p> <p>PI: Neil Tindale of: Texas A&M University dataset: Aerosols, long irradiation neutron activation analysis dates: January 08, 1995 to December 18, 1995 locations: N: 22.8459 S: 09.8871 W: 57.2609 E: 68.2687 project: Arabian Sea ship: Thomas Thompson Dr. Neil Tindale, Texas A & M Univ. JGOFS/Arabian Sea Aerosols, short and long irradiation neutron activation analysis JGOFS Arabian Sea Aerosol Data This mineral aerosol concentration data set is from samples collected during several cruises on the R/V Thomas Thompson during the JGOFS Arabian Sea field program. The data set includes the sampling period for each sample; the "Day of Year", yrday, number for the start of the sampling period for each sample; and the concentration of different elements for each sample, in micrograms per cubic meter. For the sampling period, "nd" is used as a filler to indicate "no data" gaps in the data array. The dust values are estimated using aluminum concentrations determined by neutron activation analysis. While most samples cover a multi-day period, we only have data for about 150 days. We didn't participate in all of the cruises and, on the cruises where samples were collected, often sampling conditions were less than ideal (bad weather, ship maneuvering, relative wind from astern etc.). A few samples that were collected showed obvious contamination from local sources, presumably from material from the R/V Thompson or from nearby fishing boats, and these samples were discarded and are not included in the data set. While the sampling period represents the period during which sampling occurred, sampling was usually not continuous. Sampling was frequently stopped, whenever sampling conditions were no longer suitable. Thus the concentration value at any particular date represents a time integrated sample which is usually non-continuous. Cautionary comments: 1. There may be a problem with the estimate for the mineral "dust" concentration. Most researchers use the average crustal ratio to estimate mineral dust concentrations using elemental concentration data (Al, Fe, etc.). Surface sand and silt samples that were collected in Oman in the Wahibah Sands region have distinct, non-crustal ratios. If individual aerosol samples are comprised of material from distinct sources, including Oman, then it is not unreasonable for their elemental ratios to differ from the published "average" crustal ratio that is used in most aerosol studies. 2. The amount of Ti in all the aerosol samples was small, despite there being a reasonable amount of dust material in most samples. The peaks for Cr and Ti overlap in the neutron activation short irradiation analysis and if significant quantities of Cr are present, this will interfere with the Ti analysis. With the exception of one sample, the Ti values are at or below the detection limit. The sole value above the detection limit was corrected for the Cr contribution using a correction based on the Cr values from the long irradiations. The correction changed the Ti value by less than 5%. The Ti data flagged as being at or below the detection limit was not corrected for possible Cr interference. Data management office notes on supplementary fields - aerosols data lat, lon A nominal ship location is given in lat/lon. The location is the noon position most near the middle of the sampling period, e.g. for a sample which was pumped intermittently from Jan. 3 - 5, the location is given for noon, Jan. 4. Intended as an aid to understanding, not a discrete location. date_begin, date_end We have included the start and stop day for each sample which we believe constrains the sample time about as well as is useful for these data. We also have pump volume and thus a mean concentration of dust per cubic meter of air for that time frame. The actual number of hours sampled during a time block (number of days) is complicated to present. Pumps were turned on and off repetitively depending upon ship maneuvers and relative wind direction (to prevent ship exhaust contamination). Also, the total number of hours the pumps were on is a less useful measure than pump volume, because of the variability in pump efficiency due to changing barometric pressures. An hours worth of pumping does not always yield the same volume of air.</p> |

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Website | https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/57709 |
| Platform | R/V Thomas G. Thompson |
| Start Date | 1995-06-21 |
| End Date | 1995-07-13 |
| Description | <p>Methods & Sampling</p> <p>PI: Neil Tindale of: Texas A&M University dataset: Aerosols, long irradiation neutron activation analysis dates: January 08, 1995 to December 18, 1995 locations: N: 22.8459 S: 09.8871 W: 57.2609 E: 68.2687 project: Arabian Sea ship: Thomas Thompson Dr. Neil Tindale, Texas A & M Univ. JGOFS/Arabian Sea Aerosols, short and long irradiation neutron activation analysis JGOFS Arabian Sea Aerosol Data This mineral aerosol concentration data set is from samples collected during several cruises on the R/V Thomas Thompson during the JGOFS Arabian Sea field program. The data set includes the sampling period for each sample; the "Day of Year", yrday, number for the start of the sampling period for each sample; and the concentration of different elements for each sample, in micrograms per cubic meter. For the sampling period, "nd" is used as a filler to indicate "no data" gaps in the data array. The dust values are estimated using aluminum concentrations determined by neutron activation analysis. While most samples cover a multi-day period, we only have data for about 150 days. We didn't participate in all of the cruises and, on the cruises where samples were collected, often sampling conditions were less than ideal (bad weather, ship maneuvering, relative wind from astern etc.). A few samples that were collected showed obvious contamination from local sources, presumably from material from the R/V Thompson or from nearby fishing boats, and these samples were discarded and are not included in the data set. While the sampling period represents the period during which sampling occurred, sampling was usually not continuous. Sampling was frequently stopped, whenever sampling conditions were no longer suitable. Thus the concentration value at any particular date represents a time integrated sample which is usually non-continuous. Cautionary comments: 1. There may be a problem with the estimate for the mineral "dust" concentration. Most researchers use the average crustal ratio to estimate mineral dust concentrations using elemental concentration data (Al, Fe, etc.). Surface sand and silt samples that were collected in Oman in the Wahibah Sands region have distinct, non-crustal ratios. If individual aerosol samples are comprised of material from distinct sources, including Oman, then it is not unreasonable for their elemental ratios to differ from the published "average" crustal ratio that is used in most aerosol studies. 2. The amount of Ti in all the aerosol samples was small, despite there being a reasonable amount of dust material in most samples. The peaks for Cr and Ti overlap in the neutron activation short irradiation analysis and if significant quantities of Cr are present, this will interfere with the Ti analysis. With the exception of one sample, the Ti values are at or below the detection limit. The sole value above the detection limit was corrected for the Cr contribution using a correction based on the Cr values from the long irradiations. The correction changed the Ti value by less than 5%. The Ti data flagged as being at or below the detection limit was not corrected for possible Cr interference. Data management office notes on supplementary fields - aerosols data lat, lon A nominal ship location is given in lat/lon. The location is the noon position most near the middle of the sampling period, e.g. for a sample which was pumped intermittently from Jan. 3 - 5, the location is given for noon, Jan. 4. Intended as an aid to understanding, not a discrete location. date_begin, date_end We have included the start and stop day for each sample which we believe constrains the sample time about as well as is useful for these data. We also have pump volume and thus a mean concentration of dust per cubic meter of air for that time frame. The actual number of hours sampled during a time block (number of days) is complicated to present. Pumps were turned on and off repetitively depending upon ship maneuvers and relative wind direction (to prevent ship exhaust contamination). Also, the total number of hours the pumps were on is a less useful measure than pump volume, because of the variability in pump efficiency due to changing barometric pressures. An hours worth of pumping does not always yield the same volume of air.</p> |

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Website | https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/57710 |
| Platform | R/V Thomas G. Thompson |
| Start Date | 1995-07-17 |
| End Date | 1995-08-15 |
| Description | <p>Methods & Sampling</p> <p>PI: Neil Tindale of: Texas A&M University dataset: Aerosols, long irradiation neutron activation analysis dates: January 08, 1995 to December 18, 1995 locations: N: 22.8459 S: 09.8871 W: 57.2609 E: 68.2687 project: Arabian Sea ship: Thomas Thompson Dr. Neil Tindale, Texas A & M Univ. JGOFS/Arabian Sea Aerosols, short and long irradiation neutron activation analysis JGOFS Arabian Sea Aerosol Data This mineral aerosol concentration data set is from samples collected during several cruises on the R/V Thomas Thompson during the JGOFS Arabian Sea field program. The data set includes the sampling period for each sample; the "Day of Year", yrday, number for the start of the sampling period for each sample; and the concentration of different elements for each sample, in micrograms per cubic meter. For the sampling period, "nd" is used as a filler to indicate "no data" gaps in the data array. The dust values are estimated using aluminum concentrations determined by neutron activation analysis. While most samples cover a multi-day period, we only have data for about 150 days. We didn't participate in all of the cruises and, on the cruises where samples were collected, often sampling conditions were less than ideal (bad weather, ship maneuvering, relative wind from astern etc.). A few samples that were collected showed obvious contamination from local sources, presumably from material from the R/V Thompson or from nearby fishing boats, and these samples were discarded and are not included in the data set. While the sampling period represents the period during which sampling occurred, sampling was usually not continuous. Sampling was frequently stopped, whenever sampling conditions were no longer suitable. Thus the concentration value at any particular date represents a time integrated sample which is usually non-continuous. Cautionary comments: 1. There may be a problem with the estimate for the mineral "dust" concentration. Most researchers use the average crustal ratio to estimate mineral dust concentrations using elemental concentration data (Al, Fe, etc.). Surface sand and silt samples that were collected in Oman in the Wahibah Sands region have distinct, non-crustal ratios. If individual aerosol samples are comprised of material from distinct sources, including Oman, then it is not unreasonable for their elemental ratios to differ from the published "average" crustal ratio that is used in most aerosol studies. 2. The amount of Ti in all the aerosol samples was small, despite there being a reasonable amount of dust material in most samples. The peaks for Cr and Ti overlap in the neutron activation short irradiation analysis and if significant quantities of Cr are present, this will interfere with the Ti analysis. With the exception of one sample, the Ti values are at or below the detection limit. The sole value above the detection limit was corrected for the Cr contribution using a correction based on the Cr values from the long irradiations. The correction changed the Ti value by less than 5%. The Ti data flagged as being at or below the detection limit was not corrected for possible Cr interference. Data management office notes on supplementary fields - aerosols data lat, lon A nominal ship location is given in lat/lon. The location is the noon position most near the middle of the sampling period, e.g. for a sample which was pumped intermittently from Jan. 3 - 5, the location is given for noon, Jan. 4. Intended as an aid to understanding, not a discrete location. date_begin, date_end We have included the start and stop day for each sample which we believe constrains the sample time about as well as is useful for these data. We also have pump volume and thus a mean concentration of dust per cubic meter of air for that time frame. The actual number of hours sampled during a time block (number of days) is complicated to present. Pumps were turned on and off repetitively depending upon ship maneuvers and relative wind direction (to prevent ship exhaust contamination). Also, the total number of hours the pumps were on is a less useful measure than pump volume, because of the variability in pump efficiency due to changing barometric pressures. An hours worth of pumping does not always yield the same volume of air.</p> |

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Website | https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/57711 |
| Platform | R/V Thomas G. Thompson |
| Start Date | 1995-08-18 |
| End Date | 1995-09-15 |
| Description | <p>Methods & Sampling</p> <p>PI: Neil Tindale of: Texas A&M University dataset: Aerosols, long irradiation neutron activation analysis dates: January 08, 1995 to December 18, 1995 locations: N: 22.8459 S: 09.8871 W: 57.2609 E: 68.2687 project: Arabian Sea ship: Thomas Thompson Dr. Neil Tindale, Texas A & M Univ. JGOFS/Arabian Sea Aerosols, short and long irradiation neutron activation analysis JGOFS Arabian Sea Aerosol Data This mineral aerosol concentration data set is from samples collected during several cruises on the R/V Thomas Thompson during the JGOFS Arabian Sea field program. The data set includes the sampling period for each sample; the "Day of Year", yrd, number for the start of the sampling period for each sample; and the concentration of different elements for each sample, in micrograms per cubic meter. For the sampling period, "nd" is used as a filler to indicate "no data" gaps in the data array. The dust values are estimated using aluminum concentrations determined by neutron activation analysis. While most samples cover a multi-day period, we only have data for about 150 days. We didn't participate in all of the cruises and, on the cruises where samples were collected, often sampling conditions were less than ideal (bad weather, ship maneuvering, relative wind from astern etc.). A few samples that were collected showed obvious contamination from local sources, presumably from material from the R/V Thompson or from nearby fishing boats, and these samples were discarded and are not included in the data set. While the sampling period represents the period during which sampling occurred, sampling was usually not continuous. Sampling was frequently stopped, whenever sampling conditions were no longer suitable. Thus the concentration value at any particular date represents a time integrated sample which is usually non-continuous. Cautionary comments: 1. There may be a problem with the estimate for the mineral "dust" concentration. Most researchers use the average crustal ratio to estimate mineral dust concentrations using elemental concentration data (Al, Fe, etc.). Surface sand and silt samples that were collected in Oman in the Wahibah Sands region have distinct, non-crustal ratios. If individual aerosol samples are comprised of material from distinct sources, including Oman, then it is not unreasonable for their elemental ratios to differ from the published "average" crustal ratio that is used in most aerosol studies. 2. The amount of Ti in all the aerosol samples was small, despite there being a reasonable amount of dust material in most samples. The peaks for Cr and Ti overlap in the neutron activation short irradiation analysis and if significant quantities of Cr are present, this will interfere with the Ti analysis. With the exception of one sample, the Ti values are at or below the detection limit. The sole value above the detection limit was corrected for the Cr contribution using a correction based on the Cr values from the long irradiations. The correction changed the Ti value by less than 5%. The Ti data flagged as being at or below the detection limit was not corrected for possible Cr interference. Data management office notes on supplementary fields - aerosols data lat, lon A nominal ship location is given in lat/lon. The location is the noon position most near the middle of the sampling period, e.g. for a sample which was pumped intermittently from Jan. 3 - 5, the location is given for noon, Jan. 4. Intended as an aid to understanding, not a discrete location. date_begin, date_end We have included the start and stop day for each sample which we believe constrains the sample time about as well as is useful for these data. We also have pump volume and thus a mean concentration of dust per cubic meter of air for that time frame. The actual number of hours sampled during a time block (number of days) is complicated to present. Pumps were turned on and off repetitively depending upon ship maneuvers and relative wind direction (to prevent ship exhaust contamination). Also, the total number of hours the pumps were on is a less useful measure than pump volume, because of the variability in pump efficiency due to changing barometric pressures. An hours worth of pumping does not always yield the same volume of air.</p> |

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Website | https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/57714 |
| Platform | R/V Thomas G. Thompson |
| Start Date | 1995-10-29 |
| End Date | 1995-11-26 |
| Description | <p>Methods & Sampling</p> <p>PI: Neil Tindale of: Texas A&M University dataset: Aerosols, long irradiation neutron activation analysis dates: January 08, 1995 to December 18, 1995 locations: N: 22.8459 S: 09.8871 W: 57.2609 E: 68.2687 project: Arabian Sea ship: Thomas Thompson Dr. Neil Tindale, Texas A & M Univ. JGOFS/Arabian Sea Aerosols, short and long irradiation neutron activation analysis JGOFS Arabian Sea Aerosol Data This mineral aerosol concentration data set is from samples collected during several cruises on the R/V Thomas Thompson during the JGOFS Arabian Sea field program. The data set includes the sampling period for each sample; the "Day of Year", yrd, number for the start of the sampling period for each sample; and the concentration of different elements for each sample, in micrograms per cubic meter. For the sampling period, "nd" is used as a filler to indicate "no data" gaps in the data array. The dust values are estimated using aluminum concentrations determined by neutron activation analysis. While most samples cover a multi-day period, we only have data for about 150 days. We didn't participate in all of the cruises and, on the cruises where samples were collected, often sampling conditions were less than ideal (bad weather, ship maneuvering, relative wind from astern etc.). A few samples that were collected showed obvious contamination from local sources, presumably from material from the R/V Thompson or from nearby fishing boats, and these samples were discarded and are not included in the data set. While the sampling period represents the period during which sampling occurred, sampling was usually not continuous. Sampling was frequently stopped, whenever sampling conditions were no longer suitable. Thus the concentration value at any particular date represents a time integrated sample which is usually non-continuous. Cautionary comments: 1. There may be a problem with the estimate for the mineral "dust" concentration. Most researchers use the average crustal ratio to estimate mineral dust concentrations using elemental concentration data (Al, Fe, etc.). Surface sand and silt samples that were collected in Oman in the Wahibah Sands region have distinct, non-crustal ratios. If individual aerosol samples are comprised of material from distinct sources, including Oman, then it is not unreasonable for their elemental ratios to differ from the published "average" crustal ratio that is used in most aerosol studies. 2. The amount of Ti in all the aerosol samples was small, despite there being a reasonable amount of dust material in most samples. The peaks for Cr and Ti overlap in the neutron activation short irradiation analysis and if significant quantities of Cr are present, this will interfere with the Ti analysis. With the exception of one sample, the Ti values are at or below the detection limit. The sole value above the detection limit was corrected for the Cr contribution using a correction based on the Cr values from the long irradiations. The correction changed the Ti value by less than 5%. The Ti data flagged as being at or below the detection limit was not corrected for possible Cr interference. Data management office notes on supplementary fields - aerosols data lat, lon A nominal ship location is given in lat/lon. The location is the noon position most near the middle of the sampling period, e.g. for a sample which was pumped intermittently from Jan. 3 - 5, the location is given for noon, Jan. 4. Intended as an aid to understanding, not a discrete location. date_begin, date_end We have included the start and stop day for each sample which we believe constrains the sample time about as well as is useful for these data. We also have pump volume and thus a mean concentration of dust per cubic meter of air for that time frame. The actual number of hours sampled during a time block (number of days) is complicated to present. Pumps were turned on and off repetitively depending upon ship maneuvers and relative wind direction (to prevent ship exhaust contamination). Also, the total number of hours the pumps were on is a less useful measure than pump volume, because of the variability in pump efficiency due to changing barometric pressures. An hours worth of pumping does not always yield the same volume of air.</p> |

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Website | https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/57715 |
| Platform | R/V Thomas G. Thompson |
| Start Date | 1995-11-30 |
| End Date | 1995-12-28 |
| Description | <p>Methods & Sampling</p> <p>PI: Neil Tindale of: Texas A&M University dataset: Aerosols, long irradiation neutron activation analysis dates: January 08, 1995 to December 18, 1995 locations: N: 22.8459 S: 09.8871 W: 57.2609 E: 68.2687 project: Arabian Sea ship: Thomas Thompson Dr. Neil Tindale, Texas A & M Univ. JGOFS/Arabian Sea Aerosols, short and long irradiation neutron activation analysis JGOFS Arabian Sea Aerosol Data This mineral aerosol concentration data set is from samples collected during several cruises on the R/V Thomas Thompson during the JGOFS Arabian Sea field program. The data set includes the sampling period for each sample; the "Day of Year", yrday, number for the start of the sampling period for each sample; and the concentration of different elements for each sample, in micrograms per cubic meter. For the sampling period, "nd" is used as a filler to indicate "no data" gaps in the data array. The dust values are estimated using aluminum concentrations determined by neutron activation analysis. While most samples cover a multi-day period, we only have data for about 150 days. We didn't participate in all of the cruises and, on the cruises where samples were collected, often sampling conditions were less than ideal (bad weather, ship maneuvering, relative wind from astern etc.). A few samples that were collected showed obvious contamination from local sources, presumably from material from the R/V Thompson or from nearby fishing boats, and these samples were discarded and are not included in the data set. While the sampling period represents the period during which sampling occurred, sampling was usually not continuous. Sampling was frequently stopped, whenever sampling conditions were no longer suitable. Thus the concentration value at any particular date represents a time integrated sample which is usually non-continuous. Cautionary comments: 1. There may be a problem with the estimate for the mineral "dust" concentration. Most researchers use the average crustal ratio to estimate mineral dust concentrations using elemental concentration data (Al, Fe, etc.). Surface sand and silt samples that were collected in Oman in the Wahibah Sands region have distinct, non-crustal ratios. If individual aerosol samples are comprised of material from distinct sources, including Oman, then it is not unreasonable for their elemental ratios to differ from the published "average" crustal ratio that is used in most aerosol studies. 2. The amount of Ti in all the aerosol samples was small, despite there being a reasonable amount of dust material in most samples. The peaks for Cr and Ti overlap in the neutron activation short irradiation analysis and if significant quantities of Cr are present, this will interfere with the Ti analysis. With the exception of one sample, the Ti values are at or below the detection limit. The sole value above the detection limit was corrected for the Cr contribution using a correction based on the Cr values from the long irradiations. The correction changed the Ti value by less than 5%. The Ti data flagged as being at or below the detection limit was not corrected for possible Cr interference. Data management office notes on supplementary fields - aerosols data lat, lon A nominal ship location is given in lat/lon. The location is the noon position most near the middle of the sampling period, e.g. for a sample which was pumped intermittently from Jan. 3 - 5, the location is given for noon, Jan. 4. Intended as an aid to understanding, not a discrete location. date_begin, date_end We have included the start and stop day for each sample which we believe constrains the sample time about as well as is useful for these data. We also have pump volume and thus a mean concentration of dust per cubic meter of air for that time frame. The actual number of hours sampled during a time block (number of days) is complicated to present. Pumps were turned on and off repetitively depending upon ship maneuvers and relative wind direction (to prevent ship exhaust contamination). Also, the total number of hours the pumps were on is a less useful measure than pump volume, because of the variability in pump efficiency due to changing barometric pressures. An hours worth of pumping does not always yield the same volume of air.</p> |

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Project Information

U.S. JGOFS Arabian Sea (Arabian Sea)

Website: <http://usjgofs.whoi.edu/research/arabian.html>

Coverage: Arabian Sea

The U.S. Arabian Sea Expedition which began in September 1994 and ended in January 1996, had three major components: a U.S. JGOFS Process Study, supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF); Forced Upper Ocean Dynamics, an Office of Naval Research (ONR) initiative; and shipboard and aircraft measurements supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). The Expedition consisted of 17 cruises aboard the R/V Thomas Thompson, year-long moored deployments of five instrumented surface buoys and five sediment-trap arrays, aircraft overflights and satellite observations. Of the seventeen ship cruises, six were allocated to repeat process survey cruises, four to SeaSoar mapping cruises, six to mooring and benthic work, and a single calibration cruise which was essentially conducted in transit to the Arabian Sea.

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Program Information

U.S. Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (U.S. JGOFS)

Website: <http://usjgofs.whoi.edu/>

Coverage: Global

The United States Joint Global Ocean Flux Study was a national component of international JGOFS and an integral part of global climate change research.

The U.S. launched the Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (JGOFS) in the late 1980s to study the ocean carbon cycle. An ambitious goal was set to understand the controls on the concentrations and fluxes of carbon and associated nutrients in the ocean. A new field of ocean biogeochemistry emerged with an emphasis on quality measurements of carbon system parameters and interdisciplinary field studies of the biological, chemical and physical process which control the ocean carbon cycle. As we studied ocean biogeochemistry, we learned that our simple views of carbon uptake and transport were severely limited, and a new "wave" of ocean science was born. U.S. JGOFS has been supported primarily by the U.S. National Science Foundation in collaboration with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Department of Energy and the Office of Naval Research. U.S. JGOFS, ended in 2005 with the conclusion of the Synthesis and Modeling Project (SMP).

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Funding

| Funding Source | Award |
|--|--|
| National Aeronautics & Space Administration (NASA) | unknown Arabian Sea NASA |

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]