

Peroxidasin (PXDN) and myeloperoxidase (MPO) sequences used as outgroups in Havird et al (2015) J Mol Evol., Table 2 (Antarctic Inverts project)

Website: <https://www.bco-dmo.org/dataset/671750>

Data Type: Cruise Results

Version:

Version Date: 2016-12-27

Project

» [Genetic connectivity and biogeographic patterns of Antarctic benthic invertebrates](#) (Antarctic Inverts)

Contributors	Affiliation	Role
Halanych, Kenneth M.	Auburn University	Principal Investigator
Mahon, Andrew	Central Michigan University	Co-Principal Investigator
Copley, Nancy	Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI BCO-DMO)	BCO-DMO Data Manager

Table of Contents

- [Dataset Description](#)
 - [Methods & Sampling](#)
 - [Data Processing Description](#)
- [Data Files](#)
- [Parameters](#)
- [Deployments](#)
- [Project Information](#)
- [Funding](#)

Dataset Description

This dataset was published as Table 2 from Havird et al (2015). It contains GenBank accession links for myeloperoxidase (MPO) and peroxidasin (PXDN) sequences from genomic and transcriptomic datasets.

Related Reference: Havird, J.C., K. M. Kocot, P. M. Brannock, J. T. Cannon, D. S. Waits, D. A. Weese, S. R. Santos, K. M. Halanych. 2015. Reconstruction of cyclooxygenase evolution in animals suggests variable, lineage-specific prostaglandin synthesis pathways. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*. 80:193-208. DOI 10.1007/s00239-015-9670-3

Related Datasets:

[Havird_2015_T1: NCBI accessions: COX and PXDN](#)

[Havird_2015_T3: vertebrate COX conservation](#)

Methods & Sampling

From Havird et al (2015):

Data Acquisition-Publically Available Data

The basic local alignment search tool (BLAST, Altschul et al. 1990) was used to screen publically available genomic and transcriptomic datasets (Online Resource 1) for COX homologs. Remote BLASTs were performed for genomic datasets either via the Ensembl Genome Browser (Flicek et al. 2014), NCBI's GenomeBLAST, or to the Joint Genome Institute (JGI). Specifically, BLASTp searches were performed by utilizing previously described COX sequences as queries: COX-1b from mummichog (*Fundulus heteroclitus*, Chordata: Vertebrata, GenBank accession ACH73265.1), COX-a from sea squirt (*Ciona intestinalis*, Chordata: Urochordata, Ensembl accession ENSCINP00000013352), COXa1 from oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*, Mollusca, GenBank accession ACP28169.2), COX from the water flea (*Daphnia pulex*, Arthropoda, GenBank accession EFX85708.1), COX-A from Arctic soft

coral (*Gersemia fruticosa*, Cnidaria, GenBank accession AAF93168.1), and COX (15R specific) from sea whip (*Plexaura homomalla*, Cnidaria, GenBank accession AAF93169.1). This panel, which encompasses the known phylogenetic diversity of animal lineages possessing COX, was assembled to maximize the probability that COX homologs spanning varying levels of divergence would be recovered. BLAST searches were performed in a taxondirected manner across all major metazoan lineages and complemented cases where new genetic resources were generated (see below). Publically available transcriptomic data were either downloaded as raw reads and assembled de novo (as for soft corals, see below) or as assembled sets of contigs (i.e., hard corals) and then searched via BLAST as above. The approximately top five (5) BLAST 'hits' with e-values of 10^{-20} or less were retained from each search and used in preliminary phylogenetic analyses with previously characterized COX sequences to determine if candidates were in fact members of COX or closely related gene families (see Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses section).

In addition to the above searching of genomic and transcriptomic datasets, previously described COX sequences (Havird et al. 2008 and Table 1 of Kawamura et al. 2014) were also included in subsequent phylogenetic analyses. GenBank's protein (i.e., nr) database was also searched for COX sequences, with ones from chordate lineages being discarded (except for lineages of special interest such as coelacanth and Epaulette shark) and nonchordate lineages retained.

Data Acquisition- Novel Data

Novel transcriptomic data, generated from a range of invertebrate lineages and covering ~250 taxa (Online Resource 2), were also searched for COX homologs using either tBLASTn (which is based on translated protein similarity; Altschul et al. 1990) as described above or by searching for several specific COX-related terms (e.g., cyclooxygenase, PG, COX, PGHS, and arachidonic acid) among annotation records of assembled contigs. While these transcriptomes will be described in their entirety elsewhere, methods for RNA extraction, cDNA library generation and sequencing as well as assembly and contig annotation generally followed those for previously described molluscan, crustacean, and hemichordate transcriptomes (Kocot et al. 2011; Genomic Resources Development Consortium et al. 2014; Cannon et al. 2014). When searching novel transcriptomes, top BLAST hits with e-values of 10^{-20} or less were retained from each transcriptome for preliminary phylogenetic analyses. A listing of transcriptomes from which COX candidates was queried is given in Online Resource 2.

Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analyses

Amino acid sequences from COX candidates identified from BLAST searches were aligned with previously characterized COX sequences taken from Havird et al. (2008) and Kawamura et al. (2014) using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004) as implemented in SeaView version 4 with default values (Gouy et al. 2010). Poorly aligned and/or divergent regions of resulting alignments were trimmed using Gblocks version 0.91b (Castresana 2000) with the following parameters: the minimum number of 50 % of sequences was selected to identify conserved and flanking positions, maximum number of contiguous non-conserved sequences in a block set to 10, and gap positions allowed in all blocks. This approach resulted in final alignments of ~450 amino acids (roughly 25 % of amino acids were discarded). Preliminary phylogenetic trees were then generated from trimmed alignments using the parallelized form of FastTreeMP version 2.1.7 (Price et al. 2010). FastTreeMP was used in preliminary analyses instead of PhyML or RAxML because these latter programs were too computationally intensive for the numerous preliminary analyses that were performed (Price et al. 2010). Thirteen sequences from major animal lineages that were not COX homologs, but instead members of the related gene families myeloperoxidase (MPO) and peroxidasin (PXDN), were retained as outgroups, consistent with a previous phylogenetic analysis indicating MPO and PXDN sequences are appropriate outgroups for COX (Daiyasu and Toh 2000). If candidate sequences clustered with previously characterized COX genes, they were retained as possible homologs, while sequences were discarded if they clustered with MPO/PXDN sequences to the exclusion of the COX clade. This approach was iterated several times (as opposed to one large, computationally intensive preliminary analysis) for subsets of candidate sequences (inferred via BLAST) and known COX homologs (totaling ~200 sequences per subset), with candidate sequences either being discarded or retained as potentially 'true' homologs for each subset.

Candidate sequences passing the above screenings were then combined with previously described COX sequences (defined as the 'complete dataset') for additional phylogenetic analyses using Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI). ML analyses were performed using the pthreads implementation of RAxML version 8.0.24 (Stamatakis 2006; Ott et al. 2007; Stamatakis 2014), with runs (performed in duplicate) consisting of 1000 rapid bootstrap replicates (Stamatakis et al. 2008) using the PROTGAMMALG4X model of protein evolution (as specified with the -m flag). PROTGAMMALG4X uses the Gamma model of rate heterogeneity (Yang 1996) and the LG4X model of protein substitution, which has been shown to significantly outperform single matrix substitution models (Le et al. 2012). BI analyses were performed with PhyloBayes version 3.3 (Lartillot and Philippe 2004; Lartillot et al. 2009) and utilized two runs of four chains to infer phylogeny based on the same trimmed amino acid alignment as in ML analyses. The CAT model of protein evolution was used (as an alternative to the LG4X model, which is not available in PhyloBayes), with 15,000

cycles (corresponding to ~15,000,000 generation) and a 10 % burn-in (when likelihood scores had reached stability). Maximum discrepancy (i.e., max diff) between bipartitions was 0.128, suggesting that independent chains had reached a similar, stable point in treespace, and the number of generations was 'acceptable' to give a good overview of the posterior consensus (Lartillot and Philippe 2004). The ML and BI analyses were conducted at the Alabama Supercomputer Center (ASC) in Huntsville, Alabama. In an effort to encourage future studies, all sequences and alignments are available publically via <http://www.auburn.edu/~santosr/sequencedatasets.htm>.

Based on the examination of preliminary trees, nodal support values, and phylogenetic affinities from the FastTreeMP analyses, 'rogue' sequences, or ones decreasing statistical support for otherwise robust clades, were suspected in the dataset. 'Rogues', which can be due to incomplete sequences (e.g., 53 % of novel COX sequences identified here were incomplete), incomplete taxon sampling, accelerated substitution rates leading to long branches, or the possible misclassification of non-COX sequences as COX homologs (Sanderson and Shaffer 2002), were identified via the RogueNaRok webservice (Aberer et al. 2013; <http://rnr.h-its.org/>) under default parameters. Additional ML and BI phylogenetic analyses were then conducted using RAxML and PhyloBayes under the same parameters as above with these sequences excluded (designated the 'rogues removed' dataset).

Phylogenetic placement of cnidarian COX sequences was particularly interesting based on the final topology (see below). Given this, alternate topological placements of cnidarian COX sequences were compared to the most likely topology using Shimodaira-Hasegawa (SH) tests (Shimodaira and Hasegawa 1999) as implemented in RAxML via the `-f h` flag.

Characterization of Novel COX Sequences

To further characterize novel genes identified in this study, those sequences used in final phylogenetic analyses (i.e., the 'complete dataset') were searched for conserved protein domains, motifs, and residues characteristic of COX functionality. Domains were characterized using InterProScan version 5 (Jones et al. 2014) and all features were manually checked by eye. Predicted protein features indicative of COX were derived from previous descriptions of known vertebrate COX homologs (Kulmacz et al. 2003; Simmons et al. 2004; Ishikawa et al. 2007; Havird et al. 2008) including residues for COX activity (tyrosine-385, histidine-388, and serine-530), peroxidase activity (glutamine-203 and histidine-207), and substrate binding (arginine-120), as well as heme-binding, membrane-binding, and dimerization domains.

Data Processing Description

BCO-DMO Processing notes:

- added conventional header with dataset name, PI name, version date
- modified parameter names to conform with BCO-DMO naming conventions
- reformatted to flat table by adding column for taxon
- added links to NCBI accession pages

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Data Files

File
Havird_2015_T2.csv (Comma Separated Values (.csv), 1.33 KB) MD5:3e085761b1281a3bb64bff2e45db9e40
Primary data file for dataset ID 671750

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Parameters

Parameter	Description	Units
taxon	taxonomic group	unitless
Sequence_name	name of sequence	unitless
Organism	taxonomic genus and species name	unitless
NCBI_accession	NCBI GenBank accession number	unitless
link_NCBI_accession	link to GenBank accession page	unitless

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Deployments

Halanych_lab 2011-16

Website	https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/671488
Platform	Auburn University lab
Start Date	2011-08-01
End Date	2016-07-31
Description	Invertebrate genomics

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Project Information

Genetic connectivity and biogeographic patterns of Antarctic benthic invertebrates (Antarctic Inverts)

Coverage: Antarctica

Extracted from the NSF award abstract:

The research will explore the genetics, diversity, and biogeography of Antarctic marine benthic invertebrates, seeking to overturn the widely accepted suggestion that benthic fauna do not constitute a large, panmictic population. The investigators will sample adults and larvae from undersampled regions of West Antarctica that, combined with existing samples, will provide significant coverage of the western hemisphere of the Southern Ocean. The objectives are: 1) To assess the degree of genetic connectivity (or isolation) of benthic invertebrate species in the Western Antarctic using high-resolution genetic markers. 2) To begin exploring planktonic larvae spatial and bathymetric distributions for benthic shelf invertebrates in the Bellinghousen, Amundsen and Ross Seas. 3) To continue to develop a Marine Antarctic Genetic Inventory (MAGI) that relates larval and adult forms via DNA barcoding.

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]

Funding

Funding Source	Award
NSF Office of Polar Programs (formerly NSF PLR) (NSF OPP)	PLR-1043745
NSF Office of Polar Programs (formerly NSF PLR) (NSF OPP)	PLR-1043670

[[table of contents](#) | [back to top](#)]