# Gene expression profiles for Neocalanus flemingeri pre adults (CV) from the M/V Dora in the Gulf of Alaska station GAK1 on 2019-04-15

Website: https://www.bco-dmo.org/dataset/914459 Data Type: experimental Version: 1 Version Date: 2024-05-30

#### Project

» <u>Collaborative Research: Molecular profiling of the ecophysiology of dormancy induction in calanid copepods</u> of the Northern Gulf of Alaska LTER site (Diapause preparation)

Contributors	Affiliation	Role
Lenz, Petra H.	University of Hawaii at Manoa (PBRC)	Principal Investigator, Contact
<u>Hartline, Daniel K.</u>	University of Hawaii at Manoa (PBRC)	Scientist
<u>Niestroy, Jeanette</u> L.	University of Hawaii at Manoa (PBRC)	Scientist
<u>Roncalli, Vittoria</u>	University of Hawaii at Manoa (PBRC)	Scientist
<u>Block, Lauren N</u>	University of Hawaii at Manoa (PBRC)	Student
<u>Cieslak, Matthew C.</u>	University of Hawaii at Manoa (PBRC)	Technician, Data Manager
<u>Merchant, Lynne M.</u>	Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI BCO- DMO)	BCO-DMO Data Manager

#### Abstract

This experimental dataset includes relative expression of individual Neocalanus flemingeri stage CV individuals incubated for different lengths of time and four different food treatments. The experimental protocol and results are described in detail in Roncalli et al., 2023. Briefly, field-collected N. flemingeri were allowed to molt into stage CV and then sorted into four different treatments: no food, low carbon, high carbon and high carbon with diatoms. After a one-week incubation, individuals from all four treatments were processed individually for RNA-Seq. In addition, following two and three-week incubations, copepods from the three fed treatments were processed individually for RNA-Seq. Short-sequence reads were mapped against a reference transcriptome and normalized gene expression was computed for each transcript. The dataset includes log-transformed relative gene expression in reads per kilobase per million reads (RPKM) (log2[RPKM+1]). The dataset also includes a list of differentially expressed genes and a look-up table that cross-references the hierarchical identifications of transcripts generated by the Trinity assembly software and the corresponding National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) accession number. These data are further described in the following publications: Roncalli, et al. (2023) (DOI: 10.1093/plankt/fbad045) and Roncalli, et al. (2019) (DOI: 10.1038/s42003-019-0565-5)

# **Table of Contents**

- <u>Coverage</u>
- Dataset Description
  - <u>Methods & Sampling</u>
  - Data Processing Description
  - <u>BCO-DMO Processing Description</u>
- <u>Related Publications</u>
- <u>Related Datasets</u>
- <u>Parameters</u>
- Instruments
- <u>Deployments</u>
- Project Information
- <u>Funding</u>

#### Coverage

Location: Gulf of Alaska Spatial Extent: Lat:59.8443 Lon:-149.4838 Temporal Extent: 2019-04-15

### **Dataset Description**

These data are further described in the following publications:

Roncalli, V., Block, L. N., Niestroy, J. L., Cieslak, M. C., Castelfranco, A. M., Hartline, D. K., & Lenz, P. H. (2023). Experimental analysis of development, lipid accumulation and gene expression in a high-latitude marine copepod. Journal of Plankton Research, 45(6), 885–898. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbad045</u>

Roncalli, V., Cieslak, M. C., Germano, M., Hopcroft, R. R., & Lenz, P. H. (2019). Regional heterogeneity impacts gene expression in the subarctic zooplankter Neocalanus flemingeri in the northern Gulf of Alaska. Communications Biology, 2(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0565-5</u>

#### Methods & Sampling

Zooplankton were collected on a day-trip to station GAK1 (59°50.7' N, Long: 149°28' W, depth 264 m, Gulf of Alaska) (http://research.cfos.uaf.edu/gak1/) aboard the M/V Dora on April 15, 2019. Collections were made using QuadNet with two 150 µm and two 53 µm mesh nets towed vertically from 100 to 0 m. Collection details are provided in Roncalli et al. (2023). Zooplankton samples were diluted, brought back to the laboratory and sorted under a dissection microscope to select stage CIV Neocalanus flemingeri individuals. As individuals molted into CVs, they were removed from the holding containers and transferred into 750 ml Falcon flasks with 3 individuals per flask and assigned to one of the 4 food treatments, as described in detail in Roncalli et al. (2023). Three individuals were preserved upon molting (Wk0). Individuals were harvested at 3 incubation times (Wk1, Wk2 and Wk3) and preserved in RNALater Stabilization Reagent. Preserved copepods were frozen first in -40°C during the experiment, and then transferred to -80°C until further processing.

Total RNA extraction, library construction, RNA sequencing and quality control: total RNA was extracted from individuals using QIAGEN RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (catalog # 74134) in combination with a Qiashredder column (catalog # 79654). Sequencing was performed on 3 Wk0 individuals and 3 replicate individuals for each time x treatment combination. Total RNA was shipped on dry ice to the Georgia Genomics Bioinformatics Core (<u>https://dna.uga.edu</u>) for RNA-Seq. There, double-stranded cDNA libraries (KAPA Stranded mRNA-Seq Kit, with KAPA mRNA Capture Beads (cat #KK8421]) from each individual were multiplexed and sequenced using an Illumina Next-Seq 500 instrument (High-Output Flow Cell, 75 bp, paired end). Quality of each RNA-Seq library was reviewed with the FastQC software28. From each RNA-Seq library, low quality reads were removed using FASTQ Toolkit (v. 2.2.5 within BaseSpace). Illumina adaptors, reads <50 bp long, reads with an average Phred score <30 and the first 12 bp from each read, were removed from each library.

#### **Data Processing Description**

Ribosomal RNA was removed from each RNA-Seq library (SortMeRNA) (Kopylova et al., 2012) prior to mapping reads to a standard N. flemingeri reference transcriptome (NCBI: BioProject PRJNA496596, TSA: GHLB01000000) (Roncalli et al., 2019). Reads were mapped against the reference using kallisto software (default settings; v.0.43.1) (Bray et al., 2016) and Bowtie2 software(v2.3.5.1) (Langmead et al., 2009). Counts generated by the Bowtie2 mapping, were normalized using the RPKM method (reads per kilobase of transcript length per million mapped reads) (Mortazavi et al., 2008), followed by log2 transformation of the relative expression data (Log2[RPKM+1]).

For gene expression analysis, kallisto-mapped transcripts with low expression (< 1 count per million in all treatments [1cpm]) were removed leaving 46,416 transcripts (90%) that were tested for differential gene expression using the generalized linear model (Bioconductor package EdgeR, R v. 3.12.1) with p-values were adjusted for false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction (default algorithm weight01) (Robinson et al., 2010).

### **BCO-DMO Processing Description**

Steps for processing the main dataset file, the differentially expressed genes file, and the Seq ID and GenBank accession cross reference table.

1. Loaded submitted files into the BCO-DMO laminar processor. Submitted files loaded are 2024-Jan-sra\_result-Seward2019-Expt.xlsx, File1-GeneExpression-Log2(RPKM+1).csv, File2-DEGs-GLM Analysis.csv, and File3-CrossReference-Trinity Genbank.csv.

2. Renamed parameters in the cross reference file from Trinity\_ID to seq\_id to match the parameter names in the other files and Genbank\_Accession\_number to Genbank\_accession

3. Added the version number 1, suffix .1', to the Genbank\_accession values of File3-CrossReference-Trinity Genbank.csv because the NCBI GenBank accession numbers should contain a version number.

4. Joined the cross reference file with the differentially expressed genes file, File3-CrossReference-Trinity Genbank.csv, on the column seq\_id to add the corresponding GenBank accession numbers to the file.

5. Joined the cross reference file with the relative gene expression file, File1-GeneExpression-

Log2(RPKM+1).csv, on the column seq\_id to add the corresponding GenBank accession numbers to the file. 6. Joined the submitted metadata table to the relative gene expression file, File1-GeneExpression-Log2(RPKM+1).csv, to add the metadata to the file.

7. Added a date field of the format %Y-%m-%d created from the day, month, and year values.

8. Reordered the columns to move the metadata columns to the front of the relative gene expression file 9. Renamed parameters in the relative gene expression file to follow the BCO-DMO naming protocol. Renamed column headers that have a period or space in their name to an underscore. Removed '(m)' from the Depth range parameter name since units will be indicated in the parameters section of the dataset page.

\_\_\_\_\_

Steps to create an unpivoted version of the submitted relative gene expression file and a metadata table

1. Load in submitted files and a data manager metadata file into the BCO-DMO laminar processor.

2. First loaded in the submitted metadata file 2024-Jan-sra\_result-Seward2019-Expt.xlsx and the data manager metadata file dm\_replicate\_experiments\_metadata.csv into laminar.

The submitted metadata file has the columns: Experiment Accession, Experiment Title, Organism Name, Year, Month, Day, Station, Latitude, Longitude, Depth range (m), Study Accession, Study Title, Sample Accession, Replicate. The data manager created metadata file has the columns: Replicate, week\_after\_molting\_to\_CV, feeding\_protocol, BioProject, BioSample

3. Joined the submitted metadata table and the data manager created metadata table on the Replicate field into a new metadata table named metadata\_table\_with\_ncbi\_accessions.

4. Renamed the column headers in the new metadata table according to BCO-DMO naming protocols. Replaced spaces with underscores and removed the text "(m)" from the Depth range parameter name since this unit will be included in the units section of parameter definitions on the dataset page.

5. Added a collection date column of the format %Y-%m-%d from the year, month, and day columns.

6. Loaded into laminar the submitted cross reference file named File3-CrossReference-Trinity Genbank.csv.

7. Added the version number text '.1' to the parameter 'Genbank Accession Number' in the lookup table.

8. Loaded in the submitted relative gene expression file named "File1-GeneExpression-Log2(RPKM+1).csv".

9. Applied the laminar process 'unpivot' to the relative gene expression file.

10. Unpivoted on the column names which are of the form T0.1, T0.2, NF.2, GW1.3.

11. Named the unpivoted table "unpivoted\_relative\_gene\_expression" to later save as a csv file.

12. In the unpivoted file, renamed Genbank\_Accession\_number to Genbank\_accession.

13. Joined the metadata table "metadata\_table\_with\_ncbi\_accessions" with the unpivoted table

"unpivoted\_relative\_gene\_expression" on the column "Replicate" to add metadata to the unpivoted table.

14. Joined the file "File3-CrossReference-Trinity Genbank.csv" with the unpivoted file

"unpivoted\_relative\_gene\_expression" on the column "Trinity\_ID" in the cross-reference file and "seq\_id" in the unpivoted file.

15. Because the main dataset will have the Replicate column names in the metadata table

"metadata\_table\_with\_ncbi\_accessions" were renamed from T0.1 to T0\_1, etc., the replicate columns in the joined table were renamed in the same pattern by replacing the period with an underscore so that the final metadata table will match the run\_id values in the main dataset file. The same renaming was done for the joined table "unpivoted\_relative\_gene\_expression".

16. Genbank\_Accession\_number was renamed to match the pattern of the other accession parameter names. 17. The parameter fields in the unpivoted table and metadata table were reordered to group the accession

parameters at the end of the tables.

18. Removed the NCBI accession numbers and titles except for the GenBank accession numbers from the unpivoted file to reduce the file size.

[ table of contents | back to top ]

# **Related Publications**

Andrews S. (2010). FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Available online at: <u>http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc</u>

Software

BaseSpace Labs. (n.d.). FASTQ Toolkit (Version 2.2.5) [Computer software]. Illumina. https://www.illumina.com/products/by-type/informatics-products/basespace-sequence-hub/apps/fastqtoolkit.html Software

Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P., & Pachter, L. (2016). Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. Nature Biotechnology, 34(5), 525–527. https://doi.org/<u>10.1038/nbt.3519</u> *Methods* 

FastQC (2015), FastQC [Online]. Available online at: <u>https://qubeshub.org/resources/fastqc</u>. *Software* 

Kopylova, E., Noé, L., & Touzet, H. (2012). SortMeRNA: fast and accurate filtering of ribosomal RNAs in metatranscriptomic data. Bioinformatics, 28(24), 3211–3217. https://doi.org/<u>10.1093/bioinformatics/bts611</u> *Methods* 

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., & Salzberg, S. L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biology, 10(3), R25. https://doi.org/<u>10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-</u> <u>r25</u>

Methods

Mortazavi, A., Williams, B. A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L., & Wold, B. (2008). Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nature Methods, 5(7), 621–628. https://doi.org/<u>10.1038/nmeth.1226</u> *Methods* 

Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., & Smyth, G. K. (2009). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics, 26(1), 139–140. https://doi.org/<u>10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616</u> *Methods* 

Roncalli, V., Block, L. N., Niestroy, J. L., Cieslak, M. C., Castelfranco, A. M., Hartline, D. K., & Lenz, P. H. (2023). Experimental analysis of development, lipid accumulation and gene expression in a high-latitude marine copepod. Journal of Plankton Research, 45(6), 885–898. https://doi.org/<u>10.1093/plankt/fbad045</u> *Methods* 

Roncalli, V., Cieslak, M. C., Germano, M., Hopcroft, R. R., & Lenz, P. H. (2019). Regional heterogeneity impacts gene expression in the subarctic zooplankter Neocalanus flemingeri in the northern Gulf of Alaska. Communications Biology, 2(1). https://doi.org/<u>10.1038/s42003-019-0565-5</u> *Results* 

#### [ table of contents | back to top ]

# **Related Datasets**

#### IsRelatedTo

Hartline, D. K., Lenz, P. H., Cieslak, M. C. (2024) **Annotated de novo transcriptomes generated from six co-occurring species of calanoid copepods from the R/V Tiglax TXF18, TXS19, TXF15, TXF17 in the Gulf of Alaska from 2015-2019.** Biological and Chemical Oceanography Data Management Office (BCO-DMO). (Version 1) Version Date 2024-07-02 http://lod.bco-dmo.org/id/dataset/908689 [view at BCO-DMO]

University of Hawaii at Manoa (2018). Neocalanus flemingeri, Neocalanus flemingeri pre adult (CV). 2018/10.

NCBI:BioProject: PRJNA496596 [Internet]. Bethesda, MD: National Library of Medicine (US), National Center for Biotechnology Information; Available from: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA496596</u>.

University of Hawaii at Manoa (2022). Neocalanus flemingeri, Response to food availability in pre-adult Neocalanus flemingeri. 2022/02. NCBI:BioProject: PRJNA807352.[Internet]. Bethesda, MD: National Library of Medicine (US), National Center for Biotechnology Information; Available from: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA807352</u>.

[ table of contents | back to top ]

#### Parameters

Parameter	Description	Units
seq_id	Sequence identification using Trinity identification of assembled transcripts	unitless
Genbank_accession	NCBI GenBank acession number	unitless
Organism_Name	Species analyzed	unitless
Station	Station	unitless
Latitude	Latitude. Locations south of equator are negative.	decimal degrees
Longitude	Longitude. Locations west of prime meridian are negative.	decimal degrees
Collection_date	Collection date	unitless
Year	Collection year	unitless
Month	Collection month	unitless
Day	Collection day	unitless
Depth_range	Collection depth range	meters (m)
T0_1	Relative gene expression for replicate T0_1. Food protocol:: No food, Week after molting to CV: 0	Log2[RPKM+1]
T0_2	Relative gene expression for replicate T0_2. Food protocol:: No food, Week after molting to CV: 0	Log2[RPKM+1]
Т0_3	Relative gene expression for replicate T0_3. Food protocol:: No food, Week after molting to CV: 0	Log2[RPKM+1]
NF_1	Relative gene expression for replicate NF_1. Food protocol:: No food, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
NF_2	Relative gene expression for replicate NF_2. Food protocol:: No food, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
NF_3	Relative gene expression for replicate NF_3. Food protocol:: No food, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
BW1_1	Relative gene expression for replicate BW1_1. Food protocol:: Low Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
BW1_2	Relative gene expression for replicate BW1_2. Food protocol:: Low Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
BW1_3	Relative gene expression for replicate BW1_3. Food protocol:: Low Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
GW1_1	Relative gene expression for replicate GW1_1. Food protocol::High Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
GW1_2	Relative gene expression for replicate GW1_2. Food protocol::High Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]

GW1_3	Relative gene expression for replicate GW1_3. Food protocol::High Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
YW1_1	Relative gene expression for replicate YW1_1. Food protocol::High Carbon diet + diatom, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
YW1_2	Relative gene expression for replicate YW1_2. Food protocol::High Carbon diet + diatom, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
YW1_3	Relative gene expression for replicate YW1_3. Food protocol::High Carbon diet + diatom, Week after molting to CV: 1	Log2[RPKM+1]
BW2_1	Relative gene expression for replicate BW2_1. Food protocol::Low Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 2	Log2[RPKM+1]
BW2_2	Relative gene expression for replicate BW2_2. Food protocol::Low Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 2	Log2[RPKM+1]
BW2_3	Relative gene expression for replicate BW2_3. Food protocol::Low Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 2	Log2[RPKM+1]
GW2_1	Relative gene expression for replicate GW2_1. Food protocol::High Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 2	Log2[RPKM+1]
GW2_2	Relative gene expression for replicate GW2_2. Food protocol::High Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 2	Log2[RPKM+1]
GW2_3	Relative gene expression for replicate GW2_3. Food protocol::High Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 2	Log2[RPKM+1]
YW2_1	Relative gene expression for replicate YW2_1. Food protocol::High Carbon diet + diatom, Week after molting to CV: 2	Log2[RPKM+1]
YW2_2	Relative gene expression for replicate YW2_2. Food protocol::High Carbon diet + diatom, Week after molting to CV: 2	Log2[RPKM+1]
YW2_3	Relative gene expression for replicate YW2_3. Food protocol::High Carbon diet + diatom, Week after molting to CV: 2	Log2[RPKM+1]
BW3_1	Relative gene expression for replicate BW3_1. Food protocol: Low Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 3	Log2[RPKM+1]
BW3_2	Relative gene expression for replicate BW3_2. Food protocol: Low Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 3	Log2[RPKM+1]
BW3_3	Relative gene expression for replicate BW3_3. Food protocol: Low Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 3	Log2[RPKM+1]
GW3_1	Relative gene expression for replicate GW3_1. Food protocol: High Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 3	Log2[RPKM+1]
GW3_2	Relative gene expression for replicate GW3_2. Food protocol: High Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 3	Log2[RPKM+1]
GW3_3	Relative gene expression for replicate GW3_3. Food protocol: High Carbon diet, Week after molting to CV: 3	Log2[RPKM+1]
YW3_1	Relative gene expression for replicate YW3_1. Food protocol: High Carbon diet + diatom, Week after molting to CV: 3	Log2[RPKM+1]
YW3_2	Relative gene expression for replicate YW3_2. Food protocol: High Carbon diet + diatom, Week after molting to CV: 3	Log2[RPKM+1]
YW3_3	Relative gene expression for replicate YW3_3. Food protocol: High Carbon diet + diatom, Week after molting to CV: 3	Log2[RPKM+1]

[ table of contents | back to top ]

Instruments

Dataset- specific Instrument Name	Illumina Next-Seq 500
Generic Instrument Name	Automated DNA Sequencer
Dataset- specific Description	Desktop sequencer
Generic Instrument Description	General term for a laboratory instrument used for deciphering the order of bases in a strand of DNA. Sanger sequencers detect fluorescence from different dyes that are used to identify the A, C, G, and T extension reactions. Contemporary or Pyrosequencer methods are based on detecting the activity of DNA polymerase (a DNA synthesizing enzyme) with another chemoluminescent enzyme. Essentially, the method allows sequencing of a single strand of DNA by synthesizing the complementary strand along it, one base pair at a time, and detecting which base was actually added at each step.

Dataset- specific Instrument Name	Dissection microscope
Generic Instrument Name	Microscope - Optical
Generic Instrument Description	Instruments that generate enlarged images of samples using the phenomena of reflection and absorption of visible light. Includes conventional and inverted instruments. Also called a "light microscope".

Dataset-specific Instrument Name	QuadNet
Generic Instrument Name	Plankton Net
Dataset-specific Description	Two 150 $\mu m$ and two 53 $\mu m$ mesh nets
Generic Instrument Description	A Plankton Net is a generic term for a sampling net that is used to collect plankton. It is used only when detailed instrument documentation is not available.

# [ table of contents | back to top ]

# Deployments

# Lenz\_Gulf\_of\_Alaska\_2019-04-15

Website	https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/923842	
Platform	M/V Dora	
Start Date	2019-04-15	
End Date	2019-04-15	
Description	location: station GAK1 (latitude: 59º50.7′ N, longitude: 149º28′ W)	

# **Project Information**

# Collaborative Research: Molecular profiling of the ecophysiology of dormancy induction in calanid copepods of the Northern Gulf of Alaska LTER site (Diapause preparation)

**Coverage**: Northern Gulf of Alaska LTER

#### NSF Award Abstract:

The sub-arctic Pacific sustains major fisheries with nearly all commercially important species depending either directly or indirectly on lipid-rich copepods (Neocalanus flemingeri, Neocalanus plumchrus, Neocalanus cristatus and Calanus marshallae). In turn, these species depend on a short-lived spring algal bloom for growth and the accumulation of lipid stores in order to complete an annual life cycle that includes a period of dormancy. The intellectual thrust of this project measures how the timing and magnitude of algal blooms affect preparation for dormancy using a combination of field and experimental observations. The Northern Gulf of Alaska - with four calanid species that experience dormancy, steep environmental gradients, well-described phytoplankton bloom dynamics, and a concurrent NSF-LTER program - provides an unusual opportunity to identify the factors that affect dormancy preparation. Education and outreach plans are integrated with the research. Educational efforts focus on interdisciplinary opportunities for undergraduate, graduate and post-doctoral trainees. The project will generate content for existing graduate and undergraduate courses. U. of Alaska Fairbanks and U. Hawaii at Manoa are Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions, and students from these groups will be recruited to participate in the project. Because fishing is a major industry in the Gulf of Alaska, outreach will communicate the role copepods play in marine ecosystems using the concept of a dynamic food web tied to production cycles.

Diapause (dormancy) and the accompanying accumulation of lipids in copepods have been identified as key drivers in high latitude ecosystems that support economically important fisheries, including those of the Gulf of Alaska. While the disappearance of lipid-rich copepods has been linked to severe declines in fish stocks, little is known about the environmental conditions that are required for the successful completion of the copepod's life cycle. A physiological profiling approach that measures relative gene expression will be used to test two alternative hypotheses: the lipid accumulation window hypothesis, which holds that individuals enter diapause only after they have accumulated sufficient lipid stores, and the developmental program hypothesis, which holds that once the diapause program is activated, progression occurs independent of lipid accumulation. The specific objectives are: 1) determine the effect of food levels during N. flemingeri copepodite stages on progression towards diapause using multiple physiological and developmental markers; 2) characterize the seasonal changes in the physiological profile of N. flemingeri across environmental gradients and across years; 3) compare physiological profiles across co-occurring calanid species (N. flemingeri, Neocalanus plumchrus, Neocalanus cristatus and Calanus marshallae); and 4) estimate the reproductive potential of the overwintering populations of N. flemingeri. The broader scientific significance includes the acquisition of new genomic data and molecular resources that will be made publicly available through established data repositories, and the development of new tools for routinely obtaining physiological profiles of copepods.

This award reflects NSF's statutory mission and has been deemed worthy of support through evaluation using the Foundation's intellectual merit and broader impacts review criteria.

**NOTE:** Petra Lenz is a former Principal Investigator (PI) and Andrew Christie is a former Co-Principal Investigator (Co-PI) on this project (award #1756767). Daniel Hartline is the PI listed for the award #1756767 and is now a former Co-PI on this project.

#### [ table of contents | back to top ]

# Funding

Funding Source	Award
NSF Division of Ocean Sciences (NSF OCE)	<u>OCE-1756767</u>
NSF Division of Ocean Sciences (NSF OCE)	<u>OCE-1756859</u>

[ table of contents | back to top ]